

PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

April 2, 2003

CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Bob Barnard called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Beaverton City Hall Council Chambers at 4755 SW Griffith Drive.

ROLL CALL:

Present were Chairman Bob Barnard, Planning Commissioners Eric Johansen, Dan Maks, Shannon Pogue, Vlad Voytilla, and Scott Winter. Planning Commissioner Gary Bliss was excused.

Development Services Manager Steven Sparks, AICP; Associate Planner Sambo Kirkman; Associate Planner Liz Shotwell; Senior Transportation Planner Don Gustafson; Assistant City Attorney Ted Naemura; and Recording Secretary Sandra Pearson represented staff.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Barnard, who presented the format for the meeting.

VISITORS:

Chairman Barnard asked if there were any visitors in the audience wishing to address the Commission on any non-agenda issue or item. There were none.

STAFF COMMUNICATION:

Staff indicated that there were no communications at this time.

7:02 p.m. to 7:24 p.m. -- recess for the purpose of providing members of the Planning Commission with the opportunity to review new materials and information that was not previously available.

1 **OLD BUSINESS:**

2
3 Chairman Barnard opened the Public Hearing and read the format for
4 Public Hearings. There were no disqualifications of the Planning Com-
5 mission members. No one in the audience challenged the right of any
6 missioner to hear any of the agenda items, to participate in the hearing
7 or requested that the hearing be postponed to a later date. He asked if
8 there were any ex parte contact, conflict of interest or disqualifications
9 in any of the hearings on the agenda. There was no response.

10
11 **CONTINUANCES:**

12
13 **A. PROGRESS QUARRY**

14 The following land use applications have been submitted for a 110-
15 acre mixed-use development consisting of 688 multi-family
16 residential dwelling units to be located throughout the site with
17 approximately 20 acres of land reserved for future commercial use.
18 The proposal includes the realignment of SW Barrows Road, the
19 construction of a recreation trail along the southern portion of the
20 project site, and the construction of a lake. The development
21 proposal is located on the reclaimed rock quarry, south of SW
22 Scholls Ferry Road, north of SW Barrows Road, between SW 154th
23 Avenue and SW Horizon Boulevard, more specifically described as
24 Tax Lots 200, 804, 1000, 1100, and 1200 on Washington County
25 Assessor's Map 2S1-05. The parcels, which total approximately 110
26 acres in size, have the following zoning designations:

- 27
28
 - Town Center – Medium Density Residential (TC-MDR);
 - 29 • Town Center – High Density Residential (TC-HDR);
 - 30 • Town Center – Mixed Use (TC-MU); and
 - 31 • Urban Medium Density (R-4).

32
33 **The following applications will be reviewed under the**
34 **Development Code in effect prior to September 19, 2002:**

35
36 1. **CUP 2002-0028: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**
37 **(PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY**
38 **DEVELOPMENT PLAN)**

39 The applicant requests Conditional Use Permit (CUP)
40 approval for the Progress Quarry Planned Unit Development
41 Preliminary Development Plan (PUD-PDP). The CUP will
42 review the specific development of 688 multi-family
43 residential dwellings and associated improvements as one
44 planned development. Pursuant to Section 40.05.15.3 of the

Development Code, the CUP-PUD has requested deviations to certain site development requirements found in the zoning designations of the parent parcels.

2. SB 2002-0016: SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT

The applicant requests preliminary plat approval to subdivide Tax Lots 200, 804, 1000, 1100, and 1200 of Washington County Assessor's Map 2S1-05. The applicant proposes 348 lots to allow ownership of townhomes, apartment buildings, and commercial and with multiple tracts for associated improvements. Access to the site is through a street system consisting of public and private streets connecting to existing streets such as SW Barrows Road, SW Horizon Boulevard, SW Sagehen Street, SW Chukar Terrace, and SW Menlor Lane. The applicant requests a series of Street Design Modifications to multiple public streets throughout the development.

3. TPP 2002-0006: TREE PRESERVATION PLAN

Request for approval of a Tree Preservation Plan for the development of a mixed use Planned Unit Development within a Significant Tree Grove identified as Grove NX4 on the City of Beaverton's Tree Inventory.

The following applications will be reviewed under the Development Code in effect after September 19, 2002:

4. VAR 2003-0001: PROGRESS QUARRY ACCESS SPACING – LOOP STREET

The applicant requests Variance approval to Development Code Section 60.55.40.6, which requires that access points on 'Collector' streets shall not exceed 440 feet. The applicant is requesting a Variance to the access street spacing standard for the Loop Street, which is designated a Collector street.

5. VAR 2003-0002: -- PROGRESS QUARRY ACCESS SPACING – STREETS AREA D

The applicant requests Variance approval to Development Code Section 60.55.40.6, which requires that access points on 'Local' streets shall not exceed 530 feet and that access points on 'Collector' streets shall not exceed 440 feet. The applicant is requesting a Variance to the access street spacing standard for streets 'G', 'H', 'I', and 'J' within area 'D' of the proposed Progress Quarry development.

6. **VAR 2003-0003: -- PROGRESS QUARRY ACCESS SPACING – STREETS AREA A AND B**

The applicant requests Variance approval to Development Code Section 60.55.40.6, which requires that access points on 'Neighborhood Route' streets shall not exceed 660 feet and that access points on 'Local' streets shall not exceed 530 feet. The applicant is requesting a Variance to the access street spacing standard for streets 'A', 'B', 'C', 'E', and 'F' within areas 'A' and 'B' of the proposed Progress Quarry development.

Associate Planner Sambo Kirkman introduced herself and Associate Planner Liz Shotwell and explained that at the Public Hearing on March 26, 2003, and after approval of CUP 2002-0027 – Conditional Use Permit/Outline Concept Plan, the applicant had requested that the record be held open for the remaining six applications to provide the opportunity to submit additional information with regard to four topics, as follows:

1. Clarify maintenance responsibilities of the open space tracts.
2. Address pedestrian connections across SW Barrows Road to the proposed linear park.
3. Address appropriate applications to the City of Tigard.
4. Meeting with the Beaverton School District Transportation Department.

Ms. Kirkman observed that the appropriate supplemental information with regard to these four issues has been provided by the applicant, adding that staff has drafted appropriate land use orders for seven applications, incorporating the requested Conditions of Approval from the Memorandums provided to the Planning Commission prior to the prior Public Hearing. She mentioned that copies of a Memorandum submitted by Mr. Jack Orchard has been provided, observing that this Memorandum requests a modification to Conditions of Approval Nos. 4 and 5 for both the Conditional Use Permits pertaining to the Outline Concept Plan and the Preliminary Development Plan. Concluding, she recommended approval of all six remaining applications, based upon the Staff Reports as amended and additional Memorandums and information, and offered to respond to questions.

Commissioner Johansen requested clarification with regard to the pedestrian connection along SW Barrows Road, specifically the modification of Condition of Approval No. 20.

1 Ms. Kirkman clarified that Condition of Approval No. 20 referenced by
2 Commissioner Johansen is either Condition of Approval No. 83 in the
3 Land Use Order or Condition of Approval No. 19 in the Subdivision
4 Staff Report.

5

6 Commissioner Maks referred to page 3 for CUP 2002-0028 –
7 Conditional Use Permit/Preliminary Development Plan and requested
8 clarification with regard to revised Condition of Approval No. 4.

9

10 Ms. Kirkman explained that Condition of Approval No. 4 in the
11 Subdivision Staff Report is actually Condition of Approval No. 1 in the
12 land use order.

13

14 Commissioner Maks requested that staff make certain that the land
15 use order reflects the appropriate Conditions of Approval. He referred
16 to the anticipated increase in student enrollment on page 5 of 10 of the
17 Staff Report, adding that he would prefer that it reads, as follows:
18 “The Commission concurred with staff findings and further stated that
19 State law prevents the Commission from ruling on quasi judicial
20 development applications based on school issues alone. He questioned
21 why Commissioner Bliss had been listed within the land use order as
22 abstaining from voting on this issue when he had not even participated
23 in the hearing.

24

25 Ms. Kirkman explained that any Commissioner recusing himself from
26 participating in a hearing is listed as abstaining from the vote.

27

28 Commissioner Maks advised Ms. Kirkman that this should also be
29 carried through consistently on the remaining six land use orders. He
30 questioned where within these documents the timing issue with regard
31 to the opening of the new SW Barrows Road is addressed.

32

33 Ms. Kirkman informed Commissioner Maks that the timing issue
34 regarding the opening of the new SW Barrows Road is within the land
35 use order for the Subdivision, observing that this issue is addressed
36 through Condition of Approval No. 66.

37

38 Commissioner Maks pointed out that Condition of Approval No. 66
39 provides for the construction of the new SW Barrows Road but does not
40 indicate that it would be open at any particular time.

41

42 Ms. Kirkman stated that this is an oversight and assured
43 Commissioner Maks that this Condition of Approval would be
44 appropriately revised.

1 Commissioner Maks requested further clarification with regard to Mr.
2 Orchard's e-mail.

3
4 Ms. Kirkman explained that so long as the lake, linear park, and
5 regional trail are consistent with the concept shown on the Outline
6 Concept Plan, the design, details, and specific location of active and
7 passive recreational uses and use areas and their supporting amenities
8 shall be determined through joint discussions between Tualatin Hills
9 Park & Recreation District (THPRD) and the developer. Observing
10 that the Outline Concept Plan does not specify the amenities that are
11 in the linear park, she pointed out that staff would like to make certain
12 that any amenities go through design review or subsequent land use
13 approvals.

14
15 Commissioner Maks questioned staff's position with regard to the
16 proposed traffic light at the intersection of SW Barrows Road and SW
17 Menlor Lane.

18
19 Ms. Kirkman noted that this has been revised from the 400th to the
20 100th occupancy.

21
22 Commissioner Pogue requested further clarification with regard to
23 staff's position on the proposed traffic light at the intersection of SW
24 Barrows Road and SW Menlor Lane.

25
26 Ms. Kirkman pointed out that although Senior Transportation Planner
27 Don Gustafson is available to respond to questions, staff is accepting
28 the Traffic Analysis provided by *Kittelson & Associates* on behalf of the
29 applicant.

30
31 Commissioner Voytilla referred to page 10 of the land use order
32 pertaining to the Conditional Use Permit for the Preliminary
33 Development Plan, observing that the applicant proposes to shift SW
34 Barrows Road to a different location. He requested clarification with
35 regard to the current right-of-way and whether a street vacation would
36 be necessary.

37
38 Ms. Kirkman advised Commissioner Voytilla that a written agreement
39 between the City of Beaverton and THPRD could accommodate the
40 maintenance of the old SW Barrows Road right-of-way as park,
41 emphasizing that this would not necessitate a street vacation.
42

1 Referring to the land use order pertaining to the Subdivision,
2 Commissioner Voytilla expressed concern with regard to the lack of a
3 Condition of Approval pertaining to utility under grounding.
4

5 Ms. Kirkman informed Commissioner Voytilla that utility under
6 grounding is addressed in Condition of Approval No. 21 for the
7 Subdivision.
8

9 Commissioner Pogue referred to the Memorandum dated April 2, 2003
10 with regard to maintenance responsibility, observing that staff had
11 determined that the applicant's response is adequate, and questioned
12 the possibility that THPRD might not assume responsibility and at
13 some point the Home Owner's Association could abandon the open
14 space tracts.
15

16 Ms. Kirkman advised Commissioner Pogue that the applicant had
17 identified fewer amenities to be provided in the event that THPRD
18 does not become involved and maintenance becomes the responsibility
19 of the Home Owner's Association.
20

21 Commissioner Pogue expressed concern with the potential liability and
22 financial obligations that might become the responsibility of the Home
23 Owner's Association.
24

25 Ms. Kirkman indicated that the applicant would be better qualified to
26 respond to these concerns.
27

28 **APPLICANT:**
29

30 **FRED GAST**, representing *Polygon Northwest*, stated that all of the
31 applicant's documentation has been presented, adding that he is able
32 to respond to questions with regard to open spaces. Observing that the
33 applicant is eager to have THPRD assume responsibility for these open
34 spaces, he pointed out that while this issue involves both liability and
35 amenities, the amenities outweigh the liability. He pointed out that
36 THPRD is very excited with the prospect of acquiring this property
37 through dedication, adding that this is a win/win situation for
38 everyone involved. He explained that the Home Owner's Association
39 would be responsible for the native open space type amenities, adding
40 that he had discussed this situation with the insurance company today
41 and that they are comfortable with the proposal.
42

1 Commissioner Voytilla expressed his appreciation of the matrix and
2 information provided by the applicant, and questioned whether some
3 form of fencing would be provided around the lake.

4
5 Mr. Gast advised Commissioner Voytilla that there is a fence that
6 surrounds the lake, with the exception of the area where the applicant
7 has proposed a plaza, adding that there would be some access to the
8 lake in that area.

9
10 Ms. Shotwell distributed copies of a plan.

11
12 Commissioner Voytilla expressed his appreciation of the amount of
13 work prepared and submitted by the applicant over the past five
14 business days, adding that this information had provided a great deal
15 of clarity.

16
17 **PUBLIC TESTIMONY:**

18
19 No member of the public testified with regard to these applications.

20
21 Ms. Kirkman referred to Commissioner Maks' request for a revision of
22 Condition of Approval No. 66, and suggested that this should be
23 revised to state that the applicant shall construct and open to vehi-
24 cular use the realigned SW Barrows Road, adding that this should also
25 address the issue that this would be triggered by the occupancy. Refer-
26 ring to the issue of the fence surrounding the lake, she pointed out that
27 one of the Facilities Review Conditions of Approval for the Design
28 Review requires continuous fencing around the lake area as well.

29
30 Commissioner Maks requested clarification with regard to the first
31 sentence of Condition of Approval No. 66.

32
33 Ms. Kirkman stated that the first sentence of Condition of Approval
34 No. 66 shall require that the realigned SW Barrows Road would be
35 both constructed and open prior to the issuance of any occupancy
36 permit.

37
38 Senior Transportation Planner Don Gustafson clarified the Condition
39 of Approval pertaining to the pedestrian crossings and raised medians
40 on SW Barrows Road, observing that these crossings have been
41 identified on a certain drawing, noting that there are two additional
42 crossings in the middle, for a total of four crossings.

43

1 Pointing out that he had only been anticipating one crossing,
2 Chairman Barnard advised Mr. Gustafson that he is extremely pleased
3 with the proposed design for four crossings.

4
5 Commissioner Voytilla suggested the possibility of constructing the
6 crossing on the other side, further to the east, expressing his opinion
7 that this would create less of an impact.

8
9 Mr. Gustafson noted that this westbound turn lane on SW Barrows
10 Road had been recommended in the Traffic Study, and explained that
11 there is a potential for a signalized intersection at this location.

12
13 Commissioner Johansen questioned whether the Conditional Use
14 Permit would need to be revised if something needs to be taken out.

15
16 Mr. Gustafson noted that if the Condition of Approval provides for
17 construction, removal would require a revision to the Conditional Use
18 Permit.

19
20 Development Services Manager Steven Sparks explained that if the
21 crosswalk were to be removed without any other associated develop-
22 ment application, it would become necessary to amend this Condition
23 of Approval within this Conditional Use Permit. He further explained
24 that an application for an associated development of the commercial
25 area would require a PUD, noting that at that time, through the Public
26 Hearing process, this Condition of Approval could be modified.

27
28 Assistant City Attorney Ted Naemura indicated that he had no
29 comments with regard to these applications.

30
31 The public portion of the Public Hearing was closed.

32
33 Commissioner Voytilla expressed his opinion that the applicant has
34 addressed all issues and that the proposal meets applicable criteria,
35 adding that he supports all applications pertaining to this proposal.

36
37 Commissioner Pogue concurred with Commissioner Voytilla's
38 comments, adding that the applicant had done an expedient job of
39 addressing concerns and that he would support a motion for approval
40 of all applications concerning this proposal.

41
42 Commissioner Winter agreed with his fellow Commissioners, noting
43 that the applicant had addressed all of the issues and that he is in
44 support of the proposal.

1 Commissioner Johansen expressed his approval of what he referred to
2 as a very good application, adding that he looks forward to the
3 completed project. Noting that he is appreciative of the applicant's
4 response to concerns that had been expressed and that they and
5 addressed all of these concerns appropriately, he stated that he
6 supports all applications pertaining to this proposal.

7
8 Emphasizing that *Polygon Northwest* is what he referred to as a *Class*
9 *Act*, Commissioner Maks reiterated his appreciation of the efforts of
10 both Mr. Gast and *Polygon Northwest*. He stated that the applications
11 meet all applicable criteria, and referred to the e-mail from Mr.
12 Orchard concerning a change to the Conditions of Approval, adding
13 that this particular land use order had already been adopted when the
14 Outline Concept Plan was approved.

15
16 Mr. Sparks advised Commissioner Maks that although there has
17 already been a motion for approval of that land use order, this land use
18 order is before the full Commission at this time for approval, adding
19 that staff would not be opposed to any modification to finalize the
20 decision.

21
22 Chairman Barnard agreed that the applicant had done an outstanding
23 job and adequately addressed all appropriate approval criteria, adding
24 that this development would create a positive impact in that area of
25 the community. Observing that he had requested one pedestrian
26 crossing and received four, he stated that he would support a motion
27 for approval of all applications.

28
29 Commissioner Maks requested a poll with regard to CUP 2002-0027 --
30 Conditional Use Permit (Planned Unit Development/Outline Concept
31 Plan), specifically to determine whether the land use order presented
32 by staff accurately reflects the Commission's decision of the previous
33 week or if any revisions should be made to the Conditions of Approval.
34 He expressed his opinion that Condition of Approval No. 5 should be
35 changed in accordance with the document provided by Mr. Orchard
36 and staff's concurrence with the additional change added by staff, as
37 well as the change recommended by him, specifically the word "any" in
38 front of occupancy.

39
40 Commissioners Pogue, Voytilla, Winter, and Johansen, and Chairman
41 Barnard expressed their approval of the land use order presented by
42 staff with regard to CUP 2002-0027 -- Conditional Use Permit
43 (Planned Unit Development/ Outline Concept Plan), in addition to
44 Commissioner Maks' suggestion that Condition of Approval No. 5

1 should be changed in accordance with the document provided by Mr.
2 Orchard and staff's concurrence with the additional change added by
3 staff, as well as the change recommended by him, specifically the word
4 "any" in front of occupancy.
5

6 Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen
7 **SECONDED** a motion to **APPROVE** CUP 2002-0028 – Progress
8 Quarry Conditional Use Permit (Planned Unit Development/
9 Preliminary Development Plan), based upon the testimony, reports
10 and exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public Hearings
11 on the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and
12 conclusions found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, as
13 amended by staff, including additional information provided in the
14 Memorandums dated March 24, 2003, and April 2, 2003, and
15 Conditions of Approval Nos. 1 through 5.
16

17 Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

18 **AYES:** Maks, Johansen, Pogue, Voytilla, Winter, and
19 Barnard.

20 **NAYS:** None.

21 **ABSTAIN:** None.

22 **ABSENT:** Bliss.
23

24 Commissioner Maks **MOVED** to **APPROVE** SB 2002-0016 – Progress
25 Quarry Subdivision Preliminary Plat, based upon the testimony,
26 reports and exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public
27 Hearings on the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and
28 conclusions found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, as
29 amended by staff, including additional information provided in the
30 Memorandums dated March 25, 2003, and April 2, 2003, and
31 Conditions of Approval Nos. 1 through 112, with revisions to Condition
32 of Approval No. 66, as identified by staff.
33

34 Commissioner Johansen questioned whether the motion incorporates
35 the change to Condition of Approval No. 19 on page 2 of the
36 Memorandum.
37

38 Observing that this is actually Condition of Approval No. 83 within the
39 land use order, Commissioner Maks **AMENDED** his motion to include
40 this revision.
41

42 Commissioner Winter **SECONDED** the motion, as amended.
43

44 Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

1 **AYES:** Maks, Winter, Johansen, Pogue, Voytilla, and
2 Barnard.

3 **NAYS:** None.

4 **ABSTAIN:** None.

5 **ABSENT:** Bliss.

6
7 Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen
8 **SECONDED** a motion to **APPROVE** TPP 2002-0006 – Progress
9 Quarry Tree Preservation Plan, based upon the testimony, reports and
10 exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public Hearings on
11 the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions
12 found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, as amended by staff,
13 including additional information provided in the Memorandums dated
14 March 19, 2003, as amended, and March 25, 2003, and Conditions of
15 Approval Nos. 1 through 10.

16
17 Commissioner Maks expressed his appreciation to staff for locating
18 and inserting what he referred to as several “boiler-plate” conditions.

19
20 Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

21 **AYES:** Maks, Johansen, Pogue, Voytilla, Winter, and
22 Barnard.

23 **NAYS:** None.

24 **ABSTAIN:** None.

25 **ABSENT:** Bliss.

26
27 Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Winter **SECONDED**
28 a motion to **APPROVE** VAR 2003-0001 – Progress Quarry Variance
29 (Access Spacing/Loop Street), based upon the testimony, reports and
30 exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public Hearings on
31 the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions
32 found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, as amended by staff,
33 including additional information provided in the Memorandum dated
34 March 25, 2003, and Conditions of Approval Nos. 1 through 4.

35
36 Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

37 **AYES:** Maks, Winter, Johansen, Pogue, Voytilla, and
38 Barnard.

39 **NAYS:** None.

40 **ABSTAIN:** None.

41 **ABSENT:** Bliss.

42
43 Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen
44 **SECONDED** a motion to **APPROVE** VAR 2003-0002 – Progress

Quarry Variance (Access Spacing/Streets Area D), based upon the testimony, reports and exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public Hearings on the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, as amended by staff, including additional information provided in the Memorandum dated March 25, 2003, and Conditions of Approval Nos. 1 through 3.

Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

AYES: Maks, Johansen, Pogue, Voytilla, Winter, and Barnard.

NAYS: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: Bliss.

Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Voytilla **SECONDED** a motion to **APPROVE** VAR 2003-0003 – Progress Quarry Variance (Access Spacing/Streets Areas A and B), based upon the testimony, reports and exhibits, and new evidence presented during the Public Hearings on the matter, and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff Report dated March 19, 2003, and Conditions of Approval Nos. 1 through 4.

Motion **CARRIED** by the following vote:

AYES: Maks, Voytilla, Johansen, Pogue, Winter, and Barnard.

NAYS: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: Bliss.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes of the meeting of March 5, 2003, submitted. Commissioner Maks requested that line 23 of page 6 be amended, as follows: "...that **while up to six children can be served without obtaining any certification, and seven to 13 children requires State certification,** no more than ~~twelve~~ **13** children could be served in such a facility **without obtaining land use approval.**" Commissioner Maks requested that line 31 of page 13 be amended, as follows: "...~~Krell~~ **Krill**..." Commissioner Maks requested that lines 8 through 10 of page 21 be amended, as follows: "Observing that he is ~~fascinated by Mr. Robertson's testimony~~ **lives in the same subdivision as Mr. Robertson,** Commissioner Maks noted that he lives ~~next door to~~ **several houses from** a home occupation operated by Peter Cusick..."

1 Commissioner Maks requested that line 8 of page 23 be amended, as
2 follows: "...expert with regard to **neighborhood impacts created by**
3 day care and bible study..." Commissioner **MOVED** and
4 Commissioner Winter **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be
5 approved, as amended.

6
7 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioners
8 Johansen and Pogue, who abstained from voting on this issue.

9
10 Minutes of the meeting of March 12, submitted. Observing that only
11 Chairman Barnard had attended this meeting to continue Public Hear-
12 ing items, Commissioner Maks **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen
13 **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be approved as written.

14
15 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously.

16
17 **MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:**

18
19 **JACK ORCHARD** discussed the pending City request with Metro for
20 funding of the SW Murray Road Extension. Observing that this
21 involves an integral portion of the balance of this project, he stated
22 that he would like to carry comments from the City of Beaverton to
23 Metro as further justification with regard to this funding.

24
25 Commissioner Maks expressed his appreciation to Mr. Orchard for his
26 efforts on behalf of this issue.

27
28 The meeting adjourned at 8:14 p.m.